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Abstract

The Pardoo Direct Shipping Ore Project is owned by Atlas Iron Limited and is located in the Pilbara region of Western Australia. Iron ore mining commenced in October 2008 and under the current mine plan ore reserves will be depleted during 2014. The Project is significant to Atlas as their first producing mine and they have committed to achieve a high standard for closure planning and implementation.

Closure planning commenced during the feasibility stage of the Project in 2008. Detailed closure planning was initiated during 2010 and included the use of gap analysis and risk assessment to identify closure opportunities and set planning priorities. A program of targeted investigations was then undertaken to resolve gaps and risks, and enable the development of a detailed mine closure plan.

Atlas will demonstrate closure performance using robust measures including closure objectives and criteria, to facilitate lease relinquishment in a planned and timely manner. Closure objectives and criteria have been developed in consultation with stakeholders.

Atlas has integrated closure planning outcomes into the operational phase of the Project to realise financial and operational efficiencies. The approach that Atlas has adopted will contribute to enhanced closure outcomes for the Project.

1 Introduction

The Pardoo DSO Project (the Project) is an iron ore mine which is owned and managed by Atlas Iron Limited (Atlas). The Project is located approximately 75 kilometres (km) east of Port Hedland in the Pilbara region of Western Australia (Figure 1). The Project consists of six deposits which have been mined via eleven open pits at a rate of approximately 3 million tonnes per annum (Mtpa). Ore is crushed and screened at the Project prior to off-site transport and shipping from Port Hedland. Mining commenced in December 2008 and under the current mine plan ore reserves will expire by March 2014.

Closure planning commenced during the feasibility stage of the Project with the development of a conceptual mine closure plan in 2008. Detailed closure planning was initiated during 2010 in response to Project commitments and Atlas’ aspiration to understand and manage closure risks and opportunities. The closure planning process that Atlas adopted aligns with the concept of progressive mine closure planning (Mackenzie et al., 2006; Mackenzie et al., 2008) and meets the requirements of the Western Australian mine closure guidelines as a minimum (DMP and EPA, 2011).

The Project is significant to Atlas as their first producing mine and they have committed to achieve a high standard for closure planning and implementation. High standards have been achieved through innovative and integrated planning, open engagement of internal and external stakeholders, and through external peer review.
1.1 Project summary
Mining of iron ore is undertaken via conventional drill and blast, load and haul open pit mining methods from eleven pits (Bobby, Glenda, Alice East, Alice West, Alice Extension, Connie, South Limb, South Limb West, Chloe, Emma and Olivia) (Figure 2). Ore is crushed, screened and stockpiled onsite and then trucked using road trains to Port Hedland via the Great Northern Highway. Ore is shipped from the Utah Point bulk commodities berth at Port Hedland. Waste rock from the Project is stored in six waste rock landforms (Bobby/Glenda, Alice, South Limb, South Limb West, Chloe and Olivia/Emma).
Closure works commenced during 2013 and will be finalised in 2014. Post closure monitoring will be undertaken to measure performance against agreed closure objectives and criteria. Atlas aims to meet all closure objectives and criteria and achieve lease relinquishment ten years after the completion of closure works.

1.3 Regional setting and climate
The Project is located in the Town of Port Hedland local government area. The dominant land use in the region includes pastoral and mining activities, Aboriginal Reserves, conservation areas and urban development. The population of Port Hedland is estimated to be approximately 20,000 people and the main economic drivers in the region are commercial fishing and the minerals and energy industry (Town of Port Hedland, 2013). The Port Hedland port is the largest export tonnage port in Australia.

The Project tenements overlap the De Grey pastoral station leases and the De Grey River Water Reserve, which supplies scheme water to Port Hedland and is classified as a Priority 1 water reserve. The Project is also located approximately 10 km west of the De Grey River and 10 km east of the Leslie Saltfields which are both registered wetlands of national significance.

The climate of the region is arid tropical (Kendrick and Stanley, 2001) with hot summers and warm winters. Annual recorded rainfall fluctuates from year to year. A mean annual rainfall of 320 mm has been recorded at the Pardoo Station which lies 50 km east of the Project. Most rainfall occurs during the summer as a result of high intensity events related to cyclonic activity.

2 Closure investigations
Gap analysis and risk assessment were used to identify closure opportunities and set planning priorities. Targeted closure investigations were then undertaken to address gaps and risks, and the outcomes of which enabled the development of a detailed mine closure plan. Closure investigations consisted of: groundwater modelling, surface water drainage studies, soils assessments, landform design (including erosion modelling and geological mapping), and rehabilitation planning.
Figure 1  Regional locality plan
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2.1 Risk assessment

A series of mine closure risk assessment workshops were undertaken for the Project. The objectives of the risk assessment were to identify, rank and prioritise risks, to develop management options for risk events that could compromise closure objectives, and to assist with managing uncertainty. The risk assessment process aligned with the Australian and New Zealand Risk Management Standard (AS/NZ 31000:2009).

Three phases of mine closure were considered when undertaking the risk assessment including (i) pre-closure planning, (ii) closure implementation and (iii) post closure. Individual workshops were held to assess the risks associated with each phase and were attended by relevant stakeholders. As an example, four key risks from the phase three risk assessment are presented in Table 1.

Risk assessment outcomes were used to guide the development of closure plans for each relevant domain. Atlas will progressively review the phase three mine closure risk assessment throughout the post closure period, engaging relevant stakeholders where required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Control strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor revegetation outcomes.</td>
<td>Insufficient or hostile soil; Inappropriate or poor quality seed; Erosion; Excessive fire; Grazing pressure; Weeds; Poor climatic conditions.</td>
<td>A comprehensive rehabilitation plan has been developed; Detailed design of landforms and surface water controls has been undertaken; Post closure monitoring and maintenance will be undertaken; Temporary fencing around rehabilitation areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorised access to open pits.</td>
<td>Abandonment bund failure; Bund not constructed according to guidelines.</td>
<td>Bunds designed to meet guideline requirements; Detailed design of landforms and surface water controls has been undertaken; Close unused roads and tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure of engineered structures.</td>
<td>Landforms not constructed according to designs; Design criteria not suitable; Erosion; Specifications not achievable; Inadequate monitoring/maintenance.</td>
<td>Detailed design of landforms and surface water controls has been undertaken; QA/QC will be undertaken to implement designs accordingly; Post closure monitoring and maintenance will be undertaken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder expectations are not met.</td>
<td>Stakeholder engagement does not continue after mine closure.</td>
<td>Ongoing stakeholder engagement will be undertaken; Feedback on closure performance will be communicated to stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Groundwater

A regional hydrogeological investigation was undertaken in 2012. The investigation assessed the potential for impacts on local receptors including a culturally sensitive pool (Muccangarra Pool) and water reserve (Bulgarene borefield). Increases in pit lake salinity and metal concentrations were predicted due to the formation of an evaporative sink. Flowpath analysis found it unlikely that the receptors will be influenced by pit lake seepage however, because seepage rates were generally low.

2.3 Surface water

Regional modelling of surface water flows during both 1:100 year average recurrence interval (ARI) and peak maximum flow (PMF) rainfall events were undertaken for the Project area. Modelling highlighted the potential impacts that these rainfall events could have on the constructed Project landforms. A number of
management measures were recommended for each domain based on flooding predictions, including flood and flow protection (bunds and diversion structures) on and around waste rock landforms, open pits and other disturbance areas.

2.4 Soils assessment

An assessment of the quality and inventory of stockpiled topsoil and potential subsoil resources was undertaken during 2012. The physical and chemical characteristics of topsoil stockpiles and subsoil resources was also assessed. Most stockpiled topsoils were considered suitable for use on flat areas and in some cases on lower/shallow slopes because of their erosive nature. The physical characteristics of subsoil resources were generally more favourable than stockpiled topsoil for use on waste landform slopes, because they were generally less erosive.

A soil inventory revealed that there will be a deficit in terms of the volume of soil required for rehabilitation compared with the volume available in stockpile. Harvesting of topsoil during abandonment bund clearing, and selective harvesting of subsoil will be sufficient to mitigate this deficit during closure works.

2.5 Landform design

An investigation to develop an appropriate closure design for each waste rock landform was undertaken. The investigation included slope erosion modelling, SIBERIA landform evolution modelling and a geological assessment of slope stability. The geological assessment found that the waste rock landforms generally consisted of blocky and competent, high stability waste rock. There were some minor zones of medium and low stability rock which may require rock armouring.

Both a single and double batter landform design was proposed for Project landforms that incorporated the following key design principles (Figure 3 and Figure 4):

- Minimise the potential for water flow onto landform batters.
- Encourage surface water infiltration on landform surfaces.
- Reprofile the landform batters to a concave shape, comparable to an eroded slope after 250 years of modelled erosion.
- Constrain slope lengths to less than 100 m.
- Limit vertical batter heights to 20 m, beyond which a mid-slope berm is recommended.
- Berms should accommodate peak maximum flows and should be level.
- Final batter surfaces should consist of suitably coarse and durable rock. Armouring rock will be placed where required.
- Landform surfaces will be sheeted with topsoil where available or subsoil.
- Landform surfaces will be cross-ripped on the contour.
- Spread area specific seed mix (native grass, shrub and tree species).
- Sediment control measures will be implemented around the perimeter of each waste rock landform.
- Fencing will be installed where practicable to minimise grazing during vegetation establishment.
2.6 Rehabilitation planning

A detailed rehabilitation plan was developed to provide recommendations in relation to native seed procurement and management, topsoil reconciliation, surface treatments and revegetation.

Domain specific native seed mixes have been developed based on species represented in surrounding floristic communities and provenance seed will be sourced where possible. Seed will be treated to break dormancy and viability testing will be undertaken for major seed batches. Atlas is also considering a seed inoculant to assist with plant establishment, as an alternative to fertiliser.

Contour ripping using survey or GPS support will be undertaken and seed will then be applied at a rate of 10 kg/ha for waste rock landforms and 6 kg/ha for all other disturbance areas.
3 Stakeholder consultation

Stakeholders often have a long term interest in an area beyond the operating phase of a mine. Atlas recognises the importance of consulting with stakeholders in relation to mine closure, to provide an avenue for effective participation in the closure process.

A closure consultation plan was developed and implemented, and consisted of a series of detailed meetings with relevant primary and internal stakeholders. Project stakeholders included Commonwealth and State government agencies, native title and traditional owner groups, pastoral lease holders, and other local/regional groups. Stakeholders were able to directly participate in the closure planning and decision making process.

Stakeholder meetings were scheduled in both Port Hedland and Perth to encourage attendance. Initial meetings provided background information and details of closure knowledge gaps to encourage stakeholders to contribute to the design of the closure investigations. Subsequent meetings were used to present the outcomes of the closure investigations and encourage stakeholders to contribute to investigation outcomes. Later meetings were used to encourage participation in developing closure objectives, closure criteria and the post-closure monitoring strategy.

In addition to the scheduled meetings, follow up meetings were held with individual stakeholders to discuss specific mine closure issues and requests. Atlas will consult with primary stakeholders on an ongoing basis.

4 Closure performance

Atlas will demonstrate closure performance using robust measures including closure objectives and criteria, with the aim to achieve lease relinquishment in a planned and timely manner.

Atlas has developed a mine closure ‘vision’ to describe the intended future state of the Project area after mine closure. The mine closure vision is:

*Rehabilitated areas will be safe and stable and the Project will have no significant impacts to Bulgarene borefield or Muccangarra Pool. Revegetation will be self-sustaining and will support limited pastoral land use over time. General access to the site will be limited and any residual infrastructure will be managed by a new owner.*

The vision is supported by closure objectives which, in line with the ANZMEC framework (ANZMEC/MCA, 2000), are site specific and cover a range of aspects. Closure objectives, criteria and standards have been developed for five aspects (i) safety, (ii) assets, (iii) groundwater, (iv) vegetation and (v) surface water. The closure objectives for the Project are:

- Closed areas will be designed to deter access.
- Infrastructure will be removed or left in situ where agreed.
- The rehabilitation will support self-sustaining vegetation, which can be reasonably achieved.
- The Project will have no significant adverse impact to Bulgarene borefield and Muccangarra Pool.
- Uncontrolled surface water flows and impacts to surface water will be minimised.

Not all objectives apply to all Project domains. The closure objectives, criteria and standards have been developed in consultation with relevant industry specialists and representatives from relevant State government agencies.

5 Integrated planning

Atlas has integrated the outcomes from the planning phase into the operational phase of the Project and has developed a practical strategy for closure implementation. This will enable Atlas to realise substantial financial and operational efficiencies.
Scopes and tender documents have been developed based on the outcomes of the planning process and have facilitated selection of appropriate closure contractors in line with closure objectives. Closure work instructions have also been prepared through the planning process, whereby technical details have been summarised in the work instructions to provide detailed information to supervisors, contractors and operators, so that conformance with closure designs and standards can be achieved. Closure supervisors, contractors and operators also undergo specific inductions to ensure that the appropriate specifications and standards are interpreted into the closure implementation phase.

Operational personnel have been actively engaged to identify efficiencies and opportunities including the selection, segregation and strategic stockpiling of competent run-of-mine waste rock for various uses during closure works. Through early planning and initiation of closure works during the operating phase of the Project, Atlas will realise efficiencies in relation to management and technical support for closure implementation.

Ongoing project management involves an iterative process between the project managers, technical specialists, supervisory personnel and contactors to identify Project changes to which technical or operational responses need to be made.

6 Conclusions

The comprehensive and integrated strategy that Atlas has adopted will contribute to enhanced closure outcomes for the Project. Leading baseline studies, closure investigations and modelling have been undertaken to develop detailed plans that respond to the local climatic, physical and biological attributes of the Project. Operational activities have been optimised to minimise closure costs and also enhance outcomes. This has been achieved through the collaboration of a multidisciplinary team which have effectively balanced technical and physical aspects with the requirements and aspirations of internal and external stakeholders.

The detailed planning process has been informative for Atlas and has resulted in positive planning outcomes for their other projects.
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